

To: Tender Participants

E-Tender (Online) No. 36/26 for the Supply, Integration, Operation and Maintenance of a MUTC (Metropolitan Urban Traffic Control) System (the “Tender”)

Clarification Notice no. 6

Ayalon Highways Co. Ltd. (the “**Company**”) is hereby publishing a clarification to the Tender Documents, as follows:

1. VOLUME A - Appendix 2B(1) - Bill of Quantities, for the submission of the Bidders' Price Proposal, has been posted on the Dekel website and the Company's website.
2. Further to the Company's response to question number 6 in Clarification Notice no. 4, Updated Appendices 6A and 6C have been posted on the Dekel website and the Company's website. Bidders must fill out and submit the updated appendices with their Proposals.
3. The following are the Company's amendments to Volume E – SOW and Requirements:

#	Section	Description of the Amendment
1.	4.7.2.4.4	The following will be added to the Display operational status "- UPS"
2.	4.7.2.6.9	The words "The definitions will include" will be replaced by: "The definitions will cover, but are not limited to, the following properties"
3.	4.8.6.15	The words "including traffic signal phases" will be replaced by: "including movements, traffic signal phases"
4.	4.8.6.41	The following will be added to the end of the section: "Users can set how long the operation will run before switching back to normal mode or getting a reminder notification."
5.	4.8.6.42	The following will be added to the end of the section: "The state of the UPS will be included in each Signalized Intersection Display such as map view, tabular view, detailed view."
6.	9.2.2.9	A new requirement will be added to this additional workload: "9.2.2.9 Upgrading a Signalized Intersection to work as an Adaptive Signalized Intersection. The Supplier shall be responsible for upgrading and connecting a Signalized Intersection to the centralized adaptive traffic management module of the MUTC, as outlined in Section 4.8.19, beyond the amount (15) specified there for the POC, and only upon receiving a specific order from AHCo. Such responsibility will include the following: 9.2.2.9.1 Analyze, design, set, and implement all required configurations and definitions in the SIM to manage the Signalized Intersection in adaptive mode using the centralized adaptive traffic management module. 9.2.2.9.2 Design, procurement, supply, installation, and maintenance of required Adaptive Field Equipment for the Adaptive Signalized Intersections, as described in Section 7.

		9.2.2.9.3 Immediately after the Supplier will successfully run the Signalized Intersection as an Adaptive Signalized Intersection, an adaptive stabilization period will start as described in Section 14.10.3 and AHCo will conduct a comparison and effectiveness benchmark as described in Section 14.10.4. The Supplier will be responsible for meeting the agreed-upon SLA targets after the stabilization period as described in Section 14.10.5. 9.2.2.9.4 All maintenance fees for the work and the supplies are already covered in the yearly maintenance cost calculation mechanism, and the Supplier will not be entitled to any extra payment for maintaining the Adaptive Field Equipment." It is noted that pricing for the supply of such new additional workload appears in Part 2 of VOLUME A Appendix 2B(1) – Bill of Quantities.
7.	9.2.4.4.5	The following demand will be added as new bullet: "Traffic light real-time operation data (e.g., current Traffic Light Timing Plan, current cycle length, time in seconds in current cycle, current controller clock, synchronization pulse, etc.)"
8.	9.2.4.4.6	The following will be added to the end of the section: "In cases where Green Waves include Signalized Intersections managed by the external traffic management system, the MUTC will monitor the Green Wave's information and operation state as described in Section 4.8.9."
9.	9.2.10.1	The words "SNMP V3 protocol" will be replaced by: "SNMP V3 protocol, Modbus or other standard industrial protocols."
10.	9.2.10.3	The word "each" will be replaced by: "a single".
11.	9.2.10.7	A new requirement will be added: "9.2.10.7 The MUTC will include a dedicated user display to show an overview/summary of the state of UPS devices clustered by logical groups. The view will allow users to drill down and show the detailed display of a specific UPS."
12.	9.2.10.8	A new requirement will be added: "9.2.10.8 The GIS map will show the UPS devices connected via a direct interface protocol as layer on the map including their state of operation and indication of failures. Through the map, users may select a UPS device to open the detailed display."

4. The table below centralizes the questions raised by participants, unifying similar questions, with no background detail or identifying data. Requests submitted by Bidders but not addressed below will be deemed rejected.

	Section	Question	Answer
Volume A – Terms of Tender			
1.	1.5	We kindly request an extension of the deadline for submission of clarification requests.	Request denied.
2.	1.5	We kindly request an extension of the deadline for submission of Proposals.	Request was accepted in Clarification Notice no. 5.
3.	3	It appears that foreign companies are reluctant to submit bids in tenders published by entities in Israel on their own, or even to participate as part of a joint venture. Accordingly, we	Requests rejected.

		respectfully request that consideration be given to revising the threshold condition set forth in Section 3 of Volume A - Terms of Tender and adopting a broader approach, that would not prejudice the Company and is customary in other tenders, including those published by the Company, pursuant to which a Bidder may satisfy the threshold conditions relating to the proposed system through a subcontractor as well, and not only through a related entity or a joint venture. Furthermore, we respectfully request clarification that the intellectual property rights in the Proposed System may also be held by the subcontractor and/or by an affiliated (related) entity of the subcontractor.	
4.	3.1 3.4 3.6	We respectfully ask the Company to allow an alternative participation structure under the Tender. Under the proposed structure, the Bidder and Prime Contractor would be an Israeli company that shall independently bear full contractual responsibility towards the Company and shall independently demonstrate compliance with the applicable Threshold Conditions, including the experience requirements set forth in Section without relying on the foreign technology ,3.6 provider for the purpose of satisfying such Threshold Conditions. The Israeli Bidder would engage a leading foreign company as its subcontractor and/or Technology Provider, responsible for providing the relevant technology, software, professional know-how, integration support, maintenance and other technological capabilities required for the Proposed System and for successful performance of the Project. Accordingly, we respectfully request confirmation that the Tender may be submitted under the following structure: 1. An Israeli company shall act as the sole Bidder and Prime Contractor, assume full responsibility towards the Company and independently comply with the applicable Threshold Conditions, including Section 3.6; 2. A foreign technology company may participate as a Technology Provider and/or Subcontractor, without being required to become a formal member of a Joint Venture or	The question is unclear. The Winning Bidder is permitted to hire subcontractors, pre-approved by the Company (following the award), in accordance with Section 8.4 of the Agreement, however at the Tender stage the Bidder may not rely on subcontractors to demonstrate compliance with any of the Threshold Conditions (including those listed by the participant in the left column).

		assume joint and several liability towards the Company; and 3. The technological solution proposed by the Israeli Bidder may incorporate and rely upon the technology, software, products, know-how and professional capabilities of such foreign Technology Provider, provided that the Proposed System itself fully complies with all technical and functional requirements of the Tender. We emphasize that this request does not seek to reduce, waive or modify the professional Threshold Conditions applicable to the Israeli Bidder, but rather to enable a different contractual structure for the provision of the required technology.	
5.	3.5	Section 3.5 provides that compliance with the Proposed System requirements will be verified during the Second Stage through demonstration on an operational System. With respect to Item #9, please clarify whether the Second Stage demonstration requires: (a) a live NTCIP/TMDD or DATEX II C2C interface connected to an external traffic management system in production; or (b) demonstration of the Proposed System's operational C2C capabilities, supported by technical documentation evidencing support of the applicable standard; or (c) demonstration in a non-production/test environment using a reference implementation or simulator.	(a) No. The Company does not require the Bidder to demonstrate, during the Second Stage, a live production connection between the Proposed System and an external traffic management system <u>using NTCIP/TMDD or DATEX II</u>. (b) Yes. Compliance may be demonstrated through the Proposed System's operational center-to-center capabilities, supported by technical documentation evidencing compliance with the applicable C2C standard. (c) A demonstration in a non-production/test environment, including through a reference implementation or simulator, may form part of the supporting evidence, provided that the overall evidence is sufficient to demonstrate compliance with the applicable C2C requirement. Supporting evidence may include, as applicable, interface specifications, protocol support documentation, message definitions, configuration screens, interface management capabilities, sample message construction and parsing, system logs, previous interface documentation, test reports, or other technical evidence demonstrating implementation of the relevant C2C standard. For the avoidance of doubt, this clarification modifies the Company's response to question 2 of Clarification

			Notice No. 3 solely with respect to the manner of verification of Item #9 during the Second Stage.
6.	3.5 3.6.1.1	Section 3.6.1.1 permits the requirements of items #8–#10 of Section 3.5 to be evidenced by one of the two referenced systems, or alternatively by a third system installed in a metropolitan area of any size. Item #9 requires a center-to-center interface based on NTCIP (with the TMDD dictionary) or DATEX II. NTCIP/TMDD and DATEX II are the national frameworks for center-to-center traffic data exchange adopted in the United States and the European Union respectively. Please add a center-to-center interface implemented using UTMTC, so that a supplier who deployed center-to-center capability implemented under a UK framework can evidence that capability, as compliant with item #9, provided the Bidder submits: (a) the name of the standard applied; (b) the name of the control center with which the interface operates; (c) the list of dialogs and message types exchanged; and (d) a description of the operational functions implemented through the interface. For the avoidance of doubt, this request concerns the evidence of past deployments only. It seeks no change whatsoever to the delivery requirement: the Bidder will implement the MUTC C2C interfaces in full accordance with Section 4.5.2.3 of Volume E, using NTCIP with the TMDD dictionary or DATEX II as specified, irrespective of the standard applied in the referenced past deployment.	Request accepted so that for the purposes of complying with the Proposed System requirement in Item #9 of Section 3.5, and demonstrating past operational experience under Section 3.6.1.1 in relation to Item #9 of Section 3.5, the Company will also accept a center-to-center interface implemented using <u>UTMTC</u>, provided that such interface demonstrates equivalent operational C2C functionality. The Bidder shall provide sufficient evidence enabling the Company to determine such equivalent functionality. For the avoidance of doubt, this clarification applies only to compliance with the Threshold Condition in Item #9 of Section 3.5 and evidence of past experience under the Threshold Condition of Section 3.6.1.1. It does not modify the requirements applicable to the MUTC to be supplied under the Tender. The Supplier shall implement the C2C interfaces required by Volume E in accordance with the applicable standards and protocols specified therein and as finalized during the system design and CDR process.
7.	3.6.1 Appendix 6C	Section 3.6.1 requires the Bidder to demonstrate experience through reference projects that the Bidder developed, installed, and subsequently supported and maintained. We respectfully request that the Company confirm that a reference project will be deemed compliant where all of the following are satisfied: (a) the Bidder is the developer and intellectual property owner of the adaptive traffic control algorithm operating within the UTC system deployed in the referenced project, that	Request denied, no change is made to the Threshold Condition. In any event, it is unclear why the participant's question relates to Section 3.6.1 and not Section 3.6.2 (with respect to which adaptive traffic control is relevant). Bidders should note that Section 3.6.2 does not refer to any other requirements of Section 3.5 apart from Item #7.

		algorithm being an integral component of the system's traffic control functionality; (b) the referenced deployment operates the Bidder's adaptive traffic control algorithm within a UTC platform delivering the functionality described in items #1–#6 of Section 3.5, whether that platform is supplied by the Bidder <u>or by an authorized partner</u>; (c) the reference project otherwise satisfies in full all stated requirements as to installation date, current operational status, metropolitan population and number of Signalized Intersections; and (d) the installation and the ongoing maintenance of the UTC platform may have been performed by an authorized partner rather than by the Bidder directly. For the avoidance of doubt, this request relates solely to ownership of the adaptive traffic control algorithm deployed within the referenced system. It does not assert, and should not be construed as asserting, any ownership by the Bidder of the third-party UTC platform within which that algorithm operates. Further to the question above, Appendix 6C requires the client to confirm that the Supplier has supplied and installed the system and that the Supplier provides ongoing support and maintenance services. Please adapt Appendix 6C accordingly, as in its current form it is unsuitable for the delivery model described above.	
8.	3.6.1.1	Section 3.6.1.1 allows compliance with requirements #8-10 through a third system installed in a different metropolitan area of any size. Requirements #8-10 concern external traffic-system integration and traffic-information interfaces. Such capabilities are commonly implemented in interurban traffic management systems. However, Appendix 6C appears to be tailored to urban traffic control deployments. Please confirm whether an interurban traffic management reference may be used to demonstrate compliance with items #8-10 and if so, what form of client confirmation should be provided where Appendix 6C is not fully applicable to the nature of the deployment.	Request accepted. An interurban traffic management reference may be used to demonstrate compliance with requirements #8–10 under Section 3.6.1.1 and the requirement that the Signalized Intersections form part of an "urban road network" (according to the answer to question 6 of Clarification Notice no. 4) shall not apply (in such case, references to an urban system in Appendix 6C, including in section 4, may be stricken). However, for the avoidance of doubt it is hereby clarified, that the above shall not apply with respect to compliance with requirements #1-6 under Section 3.6.1.1 or requirement #7 under Section 3.6.2, and similarly shall not apply with respect to compliance with

			the one installation required under Section 3.5.
9.	3.9	We respectfully request that the Company clarify and confirm that, in the case of a Bidder that is a registered partnership (which is not a limited partnership), compliance with the minimum average annual turnover requirement under Section 3.9 may be demonstrated based on the turnover of the partners of such partnership, pro rata to their respective ownership interests therein, in the same manner expressly permitted for a Joint Venture. The partners in a registered partnership that is not a limited partnership bear full liability for the partnership's obligations, hence the financial strength of the partners directly supports the financial robustness of the partnership itself and is fully relevant to the purpose underlying the turnover threshold condition. In addition, allowing such pro rata calculation would be fully consistent with the rationale already adopted in Section 3.9 with respect to Joint Ventures, where turnover is examined on the basis of the members' respective holdings. Without derogating from the above, and only if the requested clarification is not accepted, we respectfully request that the Company amend Section 3.9 so that the minimum average annual turnover requirement will be examined based on the two fiscal years preceding the Deadline for Submission of Proposals, namely 2024 and 2025 rather than three fiscal years.	Request accepted. For the purposes of demonstrating compliance with the minimum average annual turnover requirement under Section 3.9, where the Bidder is an existing duly registered partnership that is not a limited partnership, the Bidder may demonstrate compliance based on the annual turnover of its partners, calculated pro rata according to their respective ownership interests in the partnership, in the same manner applicable to a Joint Venture under Section 3.9. Such reliance shall be subject to the Bidder providing, as part of its Proposal: 1. Satisfactory evidence of the registration and legal status of the partnership, including the identity of its partners and their respective ownership interests as of the Deadline for Submission of Proposals; 2. Confirmation by its external auditors, in the form attached as Appendix 6D of the Tender Documents for each partner whose turnover is relied upon; 3. A calculation demonstrating compliance with Section 3.9, whereby the relevant turnover of each partner is multiplied by its respective ownership interest in the partnership and the resulting amounts are aggregated; and 4. A letter from a licensed attorney addressed to the Company, confirming to the satisfaction of the Company that the partners whose turnover is relied upon bear liability for the obligations of the partnership in accordance with applicable law. The Company reserves the right to request any additional corporate, legal or financial documentation (including audited financial statements) reasonably required to verify compliance with the above. For the avoidance of doubt, this clarification applies only to an existing

			registered partnership that is not a limited partnership and does not amend the minimum turnover amount, the applicable fiscal years, or any other requirement of Section 3.9.
10.	4	Can you please provide all documents that are meant to be submitted as a response in editable format (e.g: Volume C)?	Request accepted with respect to Volume C (Compliance Table): the document in excel format shall be published on the Company's website and the Dekel website.
11.	6 Dekel platform	Can you please confirm what is the "Upload file" section for? Would it be enough to bundle up all required tender documents and send it as an attachment under the "Optional Files" section?	The Bidder shall upload the Proposal documents to the applicable designated fields/categories in the electronic submission system, in accordance with Appendix 9 and the instructions provided in the system. Documents for which no specific designated field or category is provided may be uploaded under the "Optional Files" section. For the avoidance of doubt, uploading documents under "Optional Files" does not replace the requirement to upload documents in any specifically designated mandatory field or category, where applicable.
12.	8.4.1	We request that the section be amended so that the Company's prior approval for the use of subcontractors shall not be required with respect to subcontractors whose details were provided to the Company as part of the bid and approved by it. Alternatively, we request that the Company's approval for the use of subcontractors specified in the bid be granted within 7 business days of submission of the request, and in the absence of a response, the request shall be deemed approved.	Request denied, as subcontractors are not to be submitted as part of the Bidder's Proposal (see also answers to questions 3 and 4 above).
13.	Appendix 2B(1)	Appendix 2B states that the quantities are only used for calculating the offers and that the Company is not obligated to order according to these quantities. In addition, Appendix 2B includes a coefficient % column and a resulting Weighted price for the purpose of aggregating the Price Proposal column. (a) What is the meaning or purpose of the coefficients? (b) Please clarify that the quantities included in Appendix 2B (column M) are evaluation quantities only;	It is clarified that the coefficients, quantities and weighted prices specified in Appendix 2B(1) are used solely for the purpose of evaluating and comparing the Price Proposals and do not constitute quantities, purchase commitments or contractual payment amounts. Payments to the Winning Bidder shall be made in accordance with the prices quoted in its Price Proposal and the applicable payment mechanisms set forth in the Agreement, based on the services, works and/or deliverables ordered and

		(c) Please clarify that actual payments under the Agreement will be based on the prices quoted by the awarded Supplier and the actual quantities, services and deliverables ordered by the Company (column J multiplied by column H).	performed, as applicable. Thus, column J shall not be multiplied by column H (which sets quantities only for the purpose of comparing the bids).
14.	Appendix 10	Please delete Nir Eyal from the list of advisors in Appendix A, as he left the Company long ago and the subject matter he dealt with is only a minor part of the current Tender.	Request accepted.
Volume B - Agreement			
15.	10	Sections 10.2 and 10.3 establish broad liability and indemnification obligations, while the Agreement does not appear to include an overall limitation of liability. Would the Company consider confirming that the Supplier's aggregate liability arising out of or in connection with the Agreement shall not exceed 100% of the total Contract Price?	Request partially accepted, a new Section 10.6 will be added as follows: "10.6 Limitation of Liability. 10.6.1 Subject to Section 10.6.2 below, without derogating from any other non-monetary right or remedy available to the Company pursuant to this Agreement and/or any law, the Supplier's aggregate liability arising out of or in connection with this Agreement shall not exceed 200% of the total Consideration paid or payable to the Supplier pursuant to this Agreement. 10.6.2 Notwithstanding the foregoing, the limitation of liability set forth in Section 10.6.1 shall not apply, and no limitation or cap of any kind shall apply, to any liability of the Supplier arising out of or in connection with: (i) any breach of the Supplier's confidentiality obligations pursuant to Section 17 of this Agreement; (ii) any infringement of, or breach of the Supplier's undertakings in relation to, intellectual property rights, including pursuant to Sections 4.8 and 16 of this Agreement; and (iii) fraud on the part of the Supplier and/or anyone on its behalf. For the avoidance of doubt, the Supplier's liability in respect of the matters set forth in sub-sections (i) through (iii) above shall be unlimited, and the provisions of this Section 10.6 shall not exempt the Supplier from any obligation imposed on it pursuant to this Agreement and/or any law, nor shall they be interpreted as the Company's waiver of any right or remedy to which it is legally entitled."
16.	12.2	Please confirm that a Performance Guarantee issued by a first-class commercial bank	The Performance Guarantee may also be issued by an offshore licensed

		incorporated in a Member State of the European Union (including, for example Santander, BBVA, CaixaBank, or equivalent international banks with investment-grade credit ratings) shall be considered a "reputable bank acceptable to the Company" for the purposes of Section 12.2. If not, please clarify: 1. The minimum requirements applicable to a foreign issuing bank; 2. Whether confirmation, counter-guarantee, or any other support from an Israeli bank is mandatory; and 3. Whether any approved list or objective eligibility criteria for issuing banks exists.	commercial bank registered and incorporated in a country having diplomatic relations with the State of Israel, that has an "A-" (A minus) or equivalent credit rating, with a positive or stable outlook, according to either or both of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC and Fitch Ratings Inc., or has an "A3" (A three) or equivalent credit rating, with a positive or stable outlook, according to Moody's Investors Service.
17.	Updated Insurance Appendix B	Would the Company kindly consider amending the Agreement to include the following provision: " Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Agreement or any of its appendices, the Contractor's maximum aggregate liability arising out of or in connection with the Agreement, its appendices, any breach thereof or under applicable law, including any compensation and/or damages, shall not exceed the applicable limit of liability specified for the relevant type of risk in the Insurance Certificate attached to the Agreement. The Company ,its employees, representatives. successors and insurers hereby release the Contractor from, and waive, any claim or payment exceeding the applicable limit of liability, including any subrogation claim. Such release and waiver shall not apply in favor of any person who caused damage through willful misconduct. Any compensation shall be payable only pursuant to a final and non-appealable judgment of a competent court determining that the Contractor is liable for such compensation."	Request denied (however see new limitation of liability in response to question 15 above). For the avoidance of doubt, the insurance requirements and limits of liability specified in the Updated Insurance Appendix B constitute minimum insurance requirements and shall not derogate from or otherwise limit the Supplier's liability under the Agreement or applicable law.

Volume D – Quality Measurements

18.	Part 2	Evaluation criteria for Part 2, topic 2 states "Impression considering Bidder's experience in MUTC projects...". There is no clear indication given regarding what this impression will be built upon, whether other documents such as Compliance Documents answering Volume C or somewhere	The evaluation shall be conducted in accordance with the criteria and respective scoring weights listed in sub-sections 1-3 of this quality measurement, which remain unchanged.
-----	--------	---	---

		else. Can you please clarify how these 20 points will be awarded?	
19.	Part 2	Regarding #1 "Bidder's international experience" we would like to raise the following query: 1. We would appreciate a more detailed clarification regarding the allocation of the 8 points under Section 3.6.1, specifically: a. Whether the 2 points per System will be awarded where the proposed System satisfies all minimum requirements #1–#6 set out in Section 3.5; and b. Whether the project in which the System was deployed shall also be supported – by a completed and signed Volume A Appendix 6C certificate. 2. Please confirm whether the same principles stated in 1a and 1b apply to the 2 points awarded under Section 3.6.2. 3. Please confirm whether all projects listed in Volume A – Appendix 6A (Table A) must be supported by a signed certificate in the form of Volume A – Appendix 6C. 4. The requirements state that only Systems located in countries other than the Bidder's country of origin are acceptable for this question. Where the Bidder is a partnership between an international company and a local company duly incorporated in Israel, and the relevant System was deployed in the country of origin of the international partner, would that System nevertheless be considered acceptable, given that the Bidder's country of origin is effectively Israel?	As stated in the opening sentence of criteria #1 of Part 2, only successfully installed and implemented systems outside the Bidder's country of incorporation, and that meet the Threshold Condition of Section 3.6 (including for example, installation date, minimum population etc.), shall be scored. However, point 1 with respect to systems that meet Section 3.6.1 requirements explains that for scoring (of up to 8 points) the system must meet the minimal requirements of items #1-6 of Section 3.5 (thus, the system does not have to meet the minimal requirements of items #8-10 for such scoring). <u>All</u> system installations relied upon for compliance with the Threshold Conditions, as well as additional ones submitted solely for Quality scoring (which shall also be listed by the Bidder in Appendix 6A), shall be supported by the documentation required under the Tender Documents, including Appendix 6C, as applicable, however in special circumstances, in which the Bidder shall describe its unsuccessful efforts to obtain the signed Appendix 6C from its client, the Company may, in its sole discretion, accept and rely on other sufficient verifiable documentation for scoring purposes. With respect to sub-question 4 – the answer is negative. It is hereby clarified that in case the Bidder is a partnership (including a non-registered JV), the country/ies of incorporation or registration of each of its partners/members shall also be considered the Bidder's country of incorporation for purposes of scoring this item.
Volume E – SOW and Requirements			
20.	1.5	In the definition of Fully Adaptive System Management, what is the meaning of the term "phase"? The terms "phase" and "signal phase" appear in a few sections throughout the SOW.	A phase (or signal phase) is the right-of-way (green), amber, and all-red clearance intervals in a cycle that are assigned to an independent traffic movement or combination of non-conflicting traffic movements serving different modes (i.e.

			motorists, pedestrians, cyclists, and transit).
21.	4.5.2.7.2	We noticed that the hyperlinks referenced in Section 4.5.2.7.2 (GTFS static information and GTFS Developer Information) are not accessible and cannot be opened. Please provide updated and valid links, or alternatively attach the relevant documents.	https://www.gov.il/he/pages/gtfs_general_transit_feed_specifications GTFS Developer Information 2021.07.27
22.	4.5.2.7.3	We noticed that the hyperlinks referenced in Section 4.5.2.7.3 (near real-time information and SIRI-SM protocol) are not accessible and cannot be opened. Please provide updated and valid links, or alternatively attach the relevant documents.	https://www.gov.il/he/pages/real_time_information_siri ICD SM 2-7
23.	4.7.2.6	Where are all the requirements for each object?	The full list of objects and their requirements will be finalized during the CDR.
24.	5.2.2.2	Within section 5.2.2.2, "Table 14 - On-premises IT infrastructure and service", it is stipulated that Servers OS is "The servers' OS will be Microsoft Windows Server 2022 or a later version." At the same time, in the paragraph above, it is also mentioned that "As these specifications are subject to change prior to system deployment, the final list of services, along with the clearly defined boundaries of responsibility between AHCo and the Supplier, will be finalized and approved by the SDR." Please note that our solution runs over Linux Operating System. We can provide the requirements for such servers at the tender stage or afterwards if successful. However, can you please confirm if this is acceptable?	The Company confirms that a Linux-based server operating system may be proposed for the MUTC, subject to the Company's approval of the detailed infrastructure architecture. The Company's standard on-premises server environment is based on Microsoft Windows Server. Where the Supplier elects to use Linux, the Supplier shall assume full responsibility for all Linux-specific licensing, support, maintenance, cybersecurity, update, backup, recovery and lifecycle requirements. The proposed Linux environment shall comply with all applicable Company infrastructure, cybersecurity and operational requirements and shall integrate with the services provided by the Company. The Supplier shall clearly identify in its Proposal all infrastructure and operational requirements associated with the proposed Linux environment. For the avoidance of doubt, the use of Linux shall not impose additional obligations or costs on the Company beyond those expressly defined in the Tender Documents or subsequently approved by the Company.
25.	General	We note that the hyperlinks provided throughout the tender documents are currently not accessible/openable. We would therefore appreciate it if you could kindly upload and	4.5.2.5.6 - Get traffic insights with Traffic View - Waze Partners Help

		provide access to all relevant documents referred to through these hyperlinks, to ensure that we will have access to the complete set of information and documentation required for the preparation of this bid.	4.5.2.5.6 - <u>Traffic view feed specifications - Waze Partners Help</u> 4.5.2.6.2 - <u>NTCIP 2306 WORKING GROUP DRAFT</u> 4.5.2.6.2 - <u>Version 3.03 - ITE-A Community of Transportation Professionals</u> 4.7.2.7.2 - <u>מאגרי המידע הממשלתיים חגים בישראל</u> <u>Holidays in Israel</u> 4.7.2.8.2 - <u>מאגרי המידע הממשלתיים זמני הדלקת נרות שבת וחג לשנים התש"ף-התשפ"ו</u> 9.2.4.5.14 - <u>שילוט הדרכה לנהגים ברשת הדרכים משרד התחבורה והבטיחות בדרכים</u> 4.8.19.4 - <u>תכנון רמזורים משרד התחבורה והבטיחות בדרכים</u> 7.5.8 - <u>התקני תנועה ובטיחות בדרך משרד התחבורה והבטיחות בדרכים</u> 7.6.2.7.9 - <u>הנחיות לתכנון הסדרי תנועה זמניים באתרי עבודה עירוניים משרד התחבורה והבטיחות בדרכים</u> 9.2.4.5.24 - <u>שילוט הדרכה לנהגים ברשת הדרכים משרד התחבורה והבטיחות בדרכים</u>
Volume E1 – SOW Appendices			
26.	Appendix 3	The three links referenced in Appendix 3 (the MOT website link, the English edition guidelines, and the "Latest XSD" link) are not accessible. Please provide working URLs or attach the referenced documents/files so the bidders can review the Unified Format guidelines and the Latest XSD.	Guidelines https://www.gov.il/BlobFolder/policy/guidelines_using_software_plan_traffic_lights/he/%D7%A0%D7%95%D7%94%D7%9C%20%D7%90%D7%99%D7%A9%D7%95%D7%A8%20%D7%AA%D7%95%D7%9B%D7%A0%D7%95%D7%AA%20%D7%9C%D7%AA%D7%9B%D7%A0%D7%95%D7%9F%20%D7%A8%D7%9E%D7%96%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%99%D7%9D-%20%D7%9E%D7%94%D7%93%D7%95%D7%A8%D7%94%203-%20%D7%90%D7%A0%D7%92%D7%9C%D7%99%D7%AA-%20%D7%9C%D7%94%D7%A4%D7%A6%D7%94.pdf Xsd- https://www.gov.il/BlobFolder/policy/guidelines_using_software_plan_traffic_lights/he/xsd-file.xsd הנחיות לשימוש בתוכנות לתכנון תכניות רמזורים בישראל משרד התחבורה והבטיחות בדרכים

This document is integral part of the Tender Documents, and the Bidder is requested to attach a signed copy thereof, to its Proposal.

Name of Bidder: _____

Bidder's Signature: _____

Date: _____